## I. INFLATION

which fell within the target set by the Central Reserve Bank of Peru (3,5 to 4,0 percent).

For the second consecutive year, inflation reached a 3,7 percent rate,

TABLE 1
ANNUAL INFLATION RATES
(Percentage change)

| Year | End of period | Average | Year | End of period | Average |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 8,7 | 6,1 | 1981 | 72,7 | 75,4 |
| 1961 | 8,7 | 6,7 | 1982 | 72,9 | 64,5 |
| 1963 | 4,7 | 6,0 | 1983 | 125,1 | 111,2 |
| 1964 | 8,8 | 9,8 | 1984 | 111,5 | 110,2 |
| 1965 | 11,4 | 16,3 | 1985 | 158,3 | 163,4 |
| 1966 | 14,8 | 8,9 | 1986 | 62,9 | 77,9 |
| 1967 | 7,7 | 9,9 | 1987 | 114,5 | 85,8 |
| 1968 | 18,9 | 19,2 | 1988 | 1722,3 | 667,0 |
| 1969 | 9,8 | 6,3 | 1989 | 2775,3 | 3398,7 |
| 1970 | 5,7 | 4,9 | 1990 | 7649,7 | 7481,7 |
| 1971 | 5,6 | 6,8 | 1991 | 139,2 | 409,5 |
| 1972 | 7,6 | 7,1 | 1992 | 56,7 | 73,5 |
| 1973 | 4,3 | 9,5 | 1993 | 39,5 | 48,6 |
| 1974 | 13,8 | 16,8 | 1994 | 15,4 | 23,7 |
| 1975 | 19,2 | 23,6 | 1995 | 10,2 | 11,1 |
| 1976 | 24,0 | 34,5 | 1996 | 11,8 | 11,5 |
| 1977 | 32,4 | 38,0 | 1997 | 6,5 | 8,5 |
| 1978 | 73,7 | 57,8 | 1998 | 6,0 | 7,3 |
| 1979 | 66,7 | 67,7 | 1999 | 3,7 | 3,5 |
| 1980 | 60,8 | 59,2 | 2000 | 3,7 | 3,8 |

Core inflation - which measures the general trend of consumer pricesdecreased from 4,6 percent in 1999 to 3,2 percent in 2000. The latter is lower
than headline inflation because core inflation excludes the impact of fuel prices on the domestic market.

| TABLE 2 <br> TARGET RANGES, CORE INFLATION AND HEADLINE INFLATION <br> (Percentage change) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Target range |  | Headline inflation | Core inflation |
| 1994 | 15,0 | - 20,0 | 15,4 | 18,4 |
| 1995 | 9,0 | - 11,0 | 10,2 | 11,1 |
| 1996 | 9,5 | - 11,5 | 11,8 | 9,7 |
| 1997 | 8,0 | - 10,0 | 6,5 | 7,4 |
| 1998 | 7,5 | - 9,0 | 6,0 | 7,8 |
| 1999 | 5,0 | - 6,0 | 3,7 | 4,6 |
| 2000 | 3,5 | - 4,0 | 3,7 | 3,2 |

In 2000 the prices of tradable goods grew at a higher rate than those of nontradable goods (4,7 percent versus 3,1 percent). Within the first group, fuel prices grew 30 percent, while prices of food products grew 0,3 percent, reflecting the behavior of prices of evaporated milk, sugar, beans, fruit, oils, and cereal flour. As for the second
group, prices of food products grew 0,2 percent, due mainly to price increases for potatoes and fresh and frozen fish, offset by prices declines for chicken, onion and carrots, while service prices grew 4,3 percent as a result of price increases for water supply ( 10 percent), education ( 6 percent) and urban transportation ( 5 percent).

## TABLE 3

INFLATION IN METROPOLITAN LIMA
(Percentage change)

|  | Weight <br> base year $\mathbf{1 9 9 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GENERAL INDEX | $\mathbf{1 0 0 , 0}$ | $\mathbf{6 , 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 , 7}$ | $\mathbf{3 , 7}$ |
| TRADABLE PRODUCTS |  |  |  |  |
| Food | $\mathbf{4 1 , 7}$ | $\mathbf{7 , 3}$ | $\mathbf{3 , 9}$ | $\mathbf{4 , 7}$ |
| Textiles and Footwear | 19,4 | 8,9 | $-1,1$ | 0,3 |
| Fuels | 6,5 | 5,6 | 6,7 | 2,6 |
| Electric appliances | 3,3 | $-3,0$ | 25,9 | 30,3 |
| Other | 0,5 | 10,5 | 7,2 | 1,8 |
| NON-TRADABLE PRODUCTS | 12,0 | 8,4 | 4,8 | 5,1 |
| Food | $\mathbf{5 8 , 3}$ | $\mathbf{5 , 1}$ | $\mathbf{3 , 6}$ | $\mathbf{3 , 1}$ |
| Services | 20,7 | 2,2 | $-5,1$ | 0,2 |
| Public Services | 36,3 | 6,7 | 8,0 | 4,3 |
| Labor | 4,1 | 5,9 | 12,7 | 5,1 |
| Health | 2,1 | 5,9 | 2,3 | 2,8 |
| Transportation | 0,8 | 5,0 | 6,8 | 4,7 |
| Education | 6,7 | 2,7 | 13,4 | 5,2 |
| Restaurants | 3,0 | 10,6 | 5,6 | 5,6 |
| Rent | 15,4 | 6,6 | 5,9 | 3,7 |
| Other services | 2,9 | 12,8 | 8,4 | 3,4 |
| Others | 1,4 | 6,6 | 4,8 | 5,5 |

## Exchange rate

End-of-period nominal depreciation of the domestic currency in the year 2000 was 1,0 percent, versus 11 percent in 1999. Greater exchange rate stability was explained by lower capital outflows from US\$ 1676 million in 1999 to US\$ 368 million in 2000- and by a lower terms of trade deterioration relative to the two previous years.

The external deflation experienced by Peru's main trade partners explained by the depreciation of their currencies against the U.S. dollaroffset the increase in their consumer price indexes. The euro and yen faced depreciations of 13 and 9 percent, respectively. It should be noted that the U.S. Federal Reserve increased its interest rate three times during the year.

In general terms, the nuevo sol showed a slight appreciation over the first months. During the rest of the year it remained stable, except for few episodes related with political events.

Thus, from January to mid-March, the appreciation of the nuevo sol led, on March 8, to its minimum level in the year (S/ . 3,40 per dollar). Such trend was partially associated with an improvement in the perception of regional risk. In the foreign exchange market, this situation led to a reduction in net forward sales.

This trend changed since end-M arch, when the nuevo sol was affected by political uncertainty associated with the electoral process. Between April and May, the exchange rate showed high volatility, and depreciation reached 1,8 percent. Since September, political events, the Elliot Associates embargo and the outlook adjustment (from stable to negative) by some credit rating agencies further weakened the nuevo sol. In this context, the exchange rate reached a maximum value of $\mathrm{S} / .3,55$ on November 20. After the new interim government took office and political uncertainty was reduced, the exchange rate reversed this trend, reaching S/ . 3,53 per dollar by end-December.


